## Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Appellate Reports Volume 194

## (Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

A.C. Consulting, LLC v. Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc  Contracts; negligent misrepresentation; breach of covenant of good faith and fair dealing; claim that, in considering legal sufficiency of substitute complaint, trial court improperly failed to consider whether applicable contractual period was ambiguous and to construe claimed ambiguity against defendant as drafter of contract; whether trial court improperly concluded that plaintiff's allegation that defendant terminated contract without giving plaintiff sufficient notice under contract was legally insufficient to state claim for breach of contract; whether trial court improperly concluded that allegations that defendant made assurances regarding length of contract were insufficient to plead any of plaintiff's causes of action.	316
Abel v. Johnson	120
Restrictive covenants; injunctions; whether trial court improperly determined that plaintiffs had standing to enforce 1956 restrictive covenant limiting use of defendant's property for residential purposes; whether trial court erred in awarding injunctive relief regarding storage of defendant's pickup truck as commercial vehicle pursuant to restrictive covenant contained in 1961 declaration; claim that injunctive relief regarding storage of defendant's pickup truck was beyond scope of plaintiffs' operative complaint; claim that relief awarded regarding storage of defendant's pickup truck was proper because plaintiffs' complaint sought broad relief with respect to any type of commercial activity pursuant to 1956 restrictive covenant limiting use of property for residential purposes only; claim that plaintiff's action seeking injunctive relief concerning keeping of chickens on defendant's property was moot; whether trial court had authority to issue injunctive relief against defendant, who had removed chickens from her property prior to commencement of action; whether trial court had jurisdiction to consider claim that defendant violated restrictive covenant regarding keeping chickens on her property; whether trial court erred in awarding injunctive relief that indefinitely prohibited keeping of chickens on defendant's property.	
Andrews v. Commissioner of Correction	178
Habeas corpus; whether habeas court abused its discretion in denying petition for	178
certification to appeal; whether petitioner failed to demonstrate that his claims of ineffective assistance of counsel were debatable among jurists of reason, that court could have resolved issues in different manner, or that questions raised were adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further; whether habeas court's findings were clearly erroneous; whether petitioner failed to demonstrate that he was prejudiced by counsel's alleged deficient performance; whether there was reasonable probability that outcome of trial would have been different.	001
Bank of New York Mellon v. Murdoch (Memorandum Decision)	901 208
Carter v. State .  Petition for new trial; assault in first degree; attempt to commit assault in first degree; risk of injury to child; criminal possession of firearm; summary judgment; claim that trial court abused its discretion by denying late petition for certification to appeal; whether trial court properly denied request for permission to file late petition for certification.	208
Ciccarelli v. Ciccarelli	335
Partition; motion for summary judgment; whether Appellate Court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over appeal challenging partial summary judgement rendered by trial court; whether defendant appealed from final judgment when one count of two count complaint remained pending and record did not contain withdrawal or unconditional abandonment of remaining count.  Deutsche Bank National Trust Co. v. DeFranco (Memorandum Decision)	901
Fitch v. Forsthoefel	230
Quiet title; declaratory judgment; easements; claim that declaratory judgment ren- dered by trial court provided plaintiffs with no practical relief; whether contro-	200

versy was justiciable; claim that because parties agreed easement was limited to ingress and egress, plaintiffs were in same position as they were prior to commencement of action; claim that trial court applied wrong standard in determining that defendants overburdened easement; claim that trial court improperly proscribed, contrary to reasonableness standard, trivial and infrequent conduct.	
Grogan v. Penza	72
In re Anthony L  Termination of parental rights; reviewability of claim that trial court violated substantive due process rights of respondent mother and her minor children when it failed to determine whether permanency plans for children that were proposed by respondent Commissioner of Children and Families secured more permanent and stable life for them compared to that which she could provide if she were given time to rehabilitate herself.	111
In re Kadon M	100
Jamalipour v. Fairway's Edge Assn., Inc	224
Mahoney v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision)	902 239
Rogers v. Commissioner of Correction	339
State v. Alexis	162
State v. Brooks	301
State v. Carter	202

dismissing motion to set aside judgment of conviction; claim that trial court improperly found that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction over motion to set aside judgment of conviction; whether there was any practical relief that could be afforded to defendant in light of unchallenged collateral estoppel basis for trial court's dismissal of defendant's motion to set aside judgment of conviction; whether appeal was moot.	
State v. DeJesus	304
court improperly admitted into evidence expert testimony regarding how child victims of sexual abuse behave and how they disclose their abuse; whether trial court committed plain error in admitting testimony of expert witness; request that this court exercise its supervisory authority over administration of justice to preclude, as matter of law, admission of expert testimony on characteristics of children who report sexual abuse; claim that trial court abused its discretion during pretrial hearing by refusing to permit defendant to ask victim leading questions on direct examination; whether defendant failed to establish that trial court's alleged error caused him harm.	
State v. Patel	245
Murder; home invasion; burglary in first degree as accessory; conspiracy to commit burglary in first degree; tampering with physical evidence; whether trial court abused its discretion when it admitted coconspirator's statements pursuant to dual inculpatory statement exception to hearsay rule in applicable provision (§ 8-6 [4]) of Connecticut Code of Evidence; unpreserved claim that trial court improperly found coconspirator unavailable to testify; claim that defendant's sixth amendment right to confrontation was violated when trial court failed to have coconspirator sworn in prior to making its determination that coconspirator was unavailable to testify; claim that trial court committed plain error when it failed to have coconspirator sworn in before making its determination that coconspirator was unavailable to testify; claim that trial court violated defendant's sixth amendment right to confrontation when it admitted tape recording of coconspirator's statements to jailhouse informant constituted inadmissible testimonial hearsay under federal constitution; unpreserved claim that coconspirator's statements to jailhouse informant were testimonial under due process and confrontation clauses in article first, § 8, of state constitution; claim that trial court abused its discretion when it admitted coconspirator's statements to jailhouse informant and coconspirator's girlfriend pursuant to § 8-6 (4); whether trial court properly found that coconspirator's statements to jailhouse informant and coconspirator's girlfriend presented sufficient indicia of reliability; whether trial court abused its discretion when it excluded from evidence under § 8-6 (4) certain testimony as not trustworthy; whether trial court abused its discretion when it denied defendant's motion to preclude state from offering testimony about cell phone tower data analysis; claim that trial court failed to conduct hearing pursuant to State v. Porter (241 Conn. 57) to determine reliability of methods and procedures concerning cell phone tower data	
State v. Ricks	216
Motion to correct illegal sentence; claim that due process required state to prove that defendant breached initial plea agreement before state could enter into second plea agreement with him; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as proper statement of facts and applicable law on issues.	
State v. Riddick	243
Motion to correct judgment mittimus; subject matter jurisdiction; claim that trial court improperly denied motion to correct judgment mittimus; improper form of judgment.	
Tatoian v. Tyler	1
Vexatious litigation; trusts; whether trial court properly denied motion to dismiss plaintiff trustee's action for vexatious litigation; claim that trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction because trustee lacked standing at time he commenced action; claim that trial court improperly failed to consider whether settlor of trust was subjected to undue influence in connection with creation of trust; claim that trial court misinterpreted relevant law in its analysis of whether defendant beneficiaries had probable cause in prior action against trustee to claim that trustee failed to diversify trust's assets in violation of statute (§ 45a-541c); claim	

that trial court misinterpreted relevant law in its analysis of whether trustee	
could prevail merely by demonstrating that beneficiaries lacked probable cause	
to bring one of several claims beneficiaries brought against trustee in prior	
action; claim that trial court improperly analyzed whether beneficiaries had	
probable cause to bring claims against trustee in prior action where court essen-	
tially disallowed reliance by trustee on trust's exculpatory clause to demonstrate	
that beneficiaries lacked probable cause.	
al- National Assa v. Ctanhanana (Managanahana Dagisian)	0